

Original Article

An anthropometric study of the normal auricle of Cross River State indigenes of Nigeria



Esomonu G. Ugochukwu*, Biose J. Ifechukwude, Ude A. Raymond, Obun C. Obun

Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Cross River University of Technology, Okuku Campus, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 May 2015

Accepted 6 April 2017

Available online 14 April 2017

Keywords:

Auricle

Tragus

Supra-aurale

Sexual dimorphism

Auricular index

ABSTRACT

Introduction: To analyse and document anthropometric parameters of the normal human auricle among Nigerians of Cross River State origin as well as to evaluate sexual dimorphism and auricular growth variation among the age groups of this population.

Methods: The present study was carried out on 217 adult volunteers made up of 117 females and 100 males, within the age range of 21–60 years; with no evidence of congenital ear anomalies or previous ear surgeries. All subjects were indigenes of Cross River State and were randomly selected across the three senatorial districts of the State. Standard measurement procedures were followed to obtain the following Auricular parameters: Auricular Length (AL), Auricular Width (AW), Lobular Length (LL), Lobular Width (LW), Protrusion at Supra-Aurale (PS) Protrusion at Tragus (PT), Auricular and Lobular Indices.

Results: This study revealed that the mean Lobular length and Protrusion at Supra-aurale values are sexually dimorphic at age group of 21–30 while the Auricular length where sexually dimorphic at 21–30 and 31–40 years age groups with higher values noted in males than in females. Other parameters measured were not sexually dimorphic.

Discussion: Standard anthropometric methods were employed in carrying out this study, hence this result is recommended to Plastic Surgeons who may need to rectify any auricular abnormalities involving patients from this ethnic group.

© 2017 Anatomical Society of India. Published by Elsevier, a division of RELX India, Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Anthropometry is the study of the variation in the measurements of the human body and the data collated thereof is useful in the realm of reconstructive plastic surgery, prosthetics and ergonomics.^{1,2}

Since the nineteenth century and even recently, Anthropometrists have engaged in the measurement of the human auricle.^{3–5}

The auricle is not only useful for sound wave collation; it is also a facial feature that contributes enormously to the aesthetics of the face. The appearance of the auricle gives swift information about age and gender⁶ as well as an unmistakable insight to genetic abnormalities.⁷ Apparently, the positional appearance or deformation of the auricular shape and size may represent a possible anomaly.^{8–10}

Over the years, several studies on the anthropometric study of the normal human auricle have reported age dependent changes in the auricular dimension specific to a particular people as well as sexual dimorphism.^{11–13} More so, the morphological variation of the human auricle has been shown to play important role in forensic identification of unknown bodies.^{14,15}

Nevertheless, recent reports of sexual dimorphism from the anthropometric auricular studies amongst different ethnic groups in Nigeria reveals variation in auricular parameters peculiar to each group.^{4,5,16}

This study aims to establish auricular anthropometric values; investigate the extent of auricular sexual dimorphism; and variations with respect to growth patterns with advancing age among Cross River State indigenes of Nigeria.

It is our hope that data generated from this study would be relevant in creating an auricular data base which would be of immense help in forensic crime detection and an objective reference material for the aesthetic plastic surgeon and biological anthropometry researchers.

Cross River state is located in the southern part of Nigeria. The state is endowed with three major ethnic groups which include: the Effiks, the Ejaghams, and the Bekwaras.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Cross River University of Technology, Okuku Campus, Cross River State, Nigeria.

E-mail address: gugoesom@yahoo.com.sg (E.G. Ugochukwu).

2. Methodology

2.1. Subjects and location

The present study was carried out on 217 adult volunteers made up of 117 females and 100 males, within the age range of 21–60 years; with no evidence of congenital ear anomalies or previous ear surgeries. All subjects were indigenes of Cross River State and were randomly selected from the three senatorial districts of the state. The age and sex were recorded against each volunteer and their informed consent was obtained from each volunteer before inclusion to the study. The adopted strict inclusion criteria for this study include: All volunteer subjects to be used must be indigenes of Cross River State; must not have any auricular deformities; must not have any auricular injury or trauma; Subjects must fall between 21–60 years of age; and their parents must both hail from Cross River State.

2.2. Anthropometric measurements of the auricle

Measurements were taken using the modified standardized landmarks.^{19,6} Measurements of Auricular Length (AL), Auricular Width (AW), Lobular Length (LL) and Lobular Width (LW) were done using an electronic digitalized caliper that read to the nearest 0.01 mm. Protrusion at Supra-aurale and Protrusion at Tragus were measured using a geometrical set square that was calibrated in millimeters (mm). The anthropometric parameters landmarks are shown in Fig. 1.

2.2.1. Auricular length and width

The Auricular Length was measured as the straight distance between super-aurale (highest point on the auricle) and subaurale (deepest point on the free margin of ear lobule) as shown in Fig. 2(A) while the Auricular Width was measured as the straight distance between preaurale and postaurale as shown in Fig. 2(B).

2.2.2. Lobular length and width

The Lobular Length was measured as the distance between incisura intertragica inferior and subaurale, as shown in Fig. 3(A)




Fig. 1. Anatomical landmarks of the auricle. (1) superaurale, (2) subaurale, (3) preaurale, (4) postaurale, (5) concha superior (6) incisura intertragica inferior, (7) incisura anterior auris posterior, (8) strongest helical fold anthelical curvature, (9) lobule anterior, (10) lobule posterior.

while the Lobular Width was measured as the distance between lobule anterior and lobule posterior, as shown in Fig. 3(B).

2.2.3. Protrusion at supra-aurale and tragal levels

The heights of auricular protrusions were measured with a geometrical set square with its base touching the temporal bone. The vertical limb of the set square thus measures the perpendicular distance between the posterior helical border at the level of supraaurale and the temporal bone for PS (Fig. 4A) and at tragal level and the mastoid area for PT (Fig. 4B).

2.2.4. Auricular index and lobular index

Width and length of the auricle and lobule was incorporated into their respective indices to assess their proportion in various age groups as shown below.

Auricular Index = width of auricle \times 100/length of auricle

Lobular Index = lobular width \times 100/lobular length.

3. Result

In this study, the auricle parameters of two hundred and seventeen (217) subjects were measured (Male = 100 and Female = 117). Mean of the Auricular Length (AL), Auricular Width (AW), Lobular Length (LL), Lobular Width (LW), Protrusion at Supra-aurale and Protrusion at Tragus were recorded while the Auricular Index and Lobular Index were calculated. Data obtained were subjected to statistical analysis. Independent *t*-test was used for gender variation while Pearson's correlation was used for determination of study variables. The statistical package used was SPSS version 16. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Results are expressed as mean \pm SD. All measurements are given in centimeters.

Results of the Auricular length, Lobular length and Protrusion at Supra-aurale showed that there were significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between males and females values at age 21–30 while at age 31–40 only the Auricular length value show significant difference between the males and females (Table 1). The study therefore revealed that the mean Auricular length, Lobular length and Protrusion at Supra-aurale values are sexually dimorphic with higher values noted in males than in females. It was also observed that there were no significant differences in the male and female values of the Auricular width, Lobular width (LW) and Protrusion at tragus (PT) for all age groups ($P < 0.05$). The Auricular parameters across the entire cohort showed no significance difference with respect to advancing age.

4. Discussion

This study which focused on normal auricles is in line with the aim of this study as it tries to bring to the fore the variations of the auricle with a view to establish correlates for the forensic experts and a data base for the plastic surgeons involved with ear reconstruction.^{16–18}

It is of particular interest to note that the mean value of AL in the present study approximates other Nigerian studies; among Maiduguri indigenes, 5.60 ± 0.52 cm¹⁶ and among the people of South-South Nigerians, 5.57 ± 0.02 cm¹⁹ However, with respect to sexual dimorphism, this result is at variance with the Nigerian studies outlined above.

Nevertheless, as closely related as the Nigerian data seems, it differs proportionately with that from several studies conducted on subjects with different ethnic backgrounds, including the one




Fig. 2. (A) Measurement of AL and (B) Measurement of AW.

conducted on American subjects, with mean ear length of 62.9 mm⁶ and the one carried out on Indians which revealed that mean auricular length is 60.1 mm.²⁰ These differences may be as a result of ethno-racial differences across the study population.

The mean Auricular Width from our study exhibited no definite trend across the age ranges and no significant difference between both sexes. This is not in consonance with the sexually dimorphic reports of Maiduguri indigenes of North Eastern Nigerians¹⁹ and

South-South people of Nigeria.¹⁶ The present study reported value for Auricular width is 35.35 ± 3.90 mm (irrespective of age and sex). Although this value is higher than the revealed values for the Maiduguri indigenes (1.33 ± 0.25 cm) and South-South indigenes of Nigeria (1.76 ± 0.02 cm), it is however in tandem with that of Northern Italian adults value of 35.66 mm.²¹ Other studies that are in line with that of the present study include that of Turkish adults.²²



Fig. 3. (A) Measurement of LL (B) Measurement of LW.




Fig. 4. (A) measurement of PS and (B) PT respectively.

Table 1Comparison of Mean \pm sd Anthropometric Data of the Auricle Parameters for Adults in Cross River State.

Age	sex	AL	AW	LL	LW	PS	PT
21–30	Female	56.08 \pm 3.66 ^A	34.50 \pm 3.45	16.15 \pm 2.90 ^C	19.18 \pm 12.30	6.86 \pm 2.37 ^D	19.67 \pm 2.93
	Male	58.49 \pm 4.15 ^A	36.36 \pm 3.64	16.51 \pm 3.45 ^C	18.69 \pm 3.29	8.52 \pm 2.63 ^D	20.52 \pm 3.18
	Total	57.20 \pm 4.77	35.37 \pm 3.65	16.32 \pm 3.16	18.95 \pm 9.24	7.63 \pm 2.62	20.07 \pm 3.05
31–40	Female	54.24 \pm 3.50 ^B	33.38 \pm 3.77	16.40 \pm 4.63	16.44 \pm 3.03	6.73 \pm 3.13	19.09 \pm 4.57
	Male	58.91 \pm 4.85 ^B	36.09 \pm 4.33	16.07 \pm 3.39	16.84 \pm 4.12	9.00 \pm 4.34	21.43 \pm 4.67
	Total	57.30 \pm 4.92	35.15 \pm 4.29	16.18 \pm 4.13	16.70 \pm 3.73	8.22 \pm 4.07	20.62 \pm 4.57
41–50	Female	56.30 \pm 3.31	35.07 \pm 2.97	17.46 \pm 3.03	17.67 \pm 3.37	7.07 \pm 2.89	18.79 \pm 2.35
	Male	60.46 \pm 5.67	35.89 \pm 5.35	17.95 \pm 3.64	19.79 \pm 3.79	9.87 \pm 2.61	23.13 \pm 2.67
	Total	58.45 \pm 5.06	35.50 \pm 4.31	17.71 \pm 3.31	18.77 \pm 3.69	8.52 \pm 3.05	21.03 \pm 3.32
51–60	Female	59.70 \pm 4.04	36.53 \pm 5.29	16.08 \pm 5.99	18.10 \pm 2.79	7.64 \pm 2.06	20.36 \pm 4.15
	Male	61.23 \pm 4.33	34.13 \pm 3.35	16.91 \pm 4.69	17.78 \pm 4.55	9.45 \pm 2.54	19.64 \pm 3.64
	Total	60.47 \pm 4.16	35.33 \pm 4.49	16.49 \pm 5.27	17.94 \pm 3.69	8.55 \pm 2.44	20.00 \pm 3.83
Total	Female	56.29 \pm 3.82	34.66 \pm 3.68	16.34 \pm 3.51	18.59 \pm 10.19	6.95 \pm 2.47	19.57 \pm 3.19
	Male	59.12 \pm 4.58	36.02 \pm 4.02	16.67 \pm 3.70	18.39 \pm 3.73	8.89 \pm 3.02	20.96 \pm 3.54
	Total	57.72 \pm 4.44	35.35 \pm 3.90	16.51 \pm 3.60	18.49 \pm 7.63	7.93 \pm 2.92	20.27 \pm 3.43

Values with similar superscripts are significant at $P < 0.05$.

Of all auricular parameters considered, the lobule exhibits the highest rate of elongation with advancing age and contributes enormously to the overall size of the ear especially during aging.⁶ This was not the case in our study because lobular length failed to show any statistical difference ($P < 0.05$) across the age groups. Moreover, sexual dimorphism was not noted. Since lobular length rapidly increases in people older than 60 years of age,⁶ it is therefore explainable that lobular values were insignificant since our study cohorts ranged from 18 to 60 years.

The mean lobular length of the present study is 16.51 ± 3.60 mm. This value is higher than that of Maiduguri indigenes (1.11 ± 0.28 cm,) in northern Nigeria¹⁶ it also differs slightly with results obtained from South-South Nigerians who had a mean lobular height of 1.44 ± 0.01 cm.¹⁹ These differences could be as a result of physiologic, ethnic, racial and cultural variations. However, the finding of the present study on lobular length corresponds with the values of the Turkish adults.²⁴

Results for lobular width varied slightly when compared to the values recorded for the Maiduguri residents¹⁶ and the South-South Nigerians.¹⁹ Our mean result was $18.49.6 \pm 7.63$ mm which is relatively lower when compared with those of adults from northern America 19.9 mm⁶ and India 20.67 mm.²⁰

Protrusion at Supra-aurale was notably significant in the first sub-group when results were compared for sexual dimorphism but did not show any definite trend across the study group. The combined mean result for protrusion at super aurale for adult Cross Riverians is 7.93 ± 2.93 which is lower than that of Indian adults who recorded a mean protrusion of 13.77 mm.²⁰ The reason for this very large gap between our study and that of Indian adults could be attributed to ethno-racial differences.

Protrusion at Tragus is not significant across the subgroup and it was not sexually dimorphic. Again, our result is seen to be significantly lower than that of Turkish and Indian adults who recorded mean tragal protrusion of 17.6 mm²² and 22.5 mm respectively.^{22,20}

Auricular index value of 61.35 ± 5.92 mm and Lobular index value of 119.83 ± 87.37 mm noted in the present study are higher than that of Indians who recorded an auricular index value of 14.4 mm and lobular index of 115.4 mm.²⁰ Great intra and inter-group variation in the shape and attachment of the lobule may account for the discrepancy exhibited by the lobular index as is reflected in the present study and that of Indians.²⁰

5. Conclusion

This study therefore has revealed that the mean Lobular length and Protrusion at Supra-aurale values are sexually dimorphic at age group of 21–30 while the Auricular length where sexually dimorphic at 21–30 and 31–40 years age groups with higher values noted in males than in females. Other parameters measured were not sexually dimorphic. Standard anthropometric methods were employed in carrying out this study, hence this result is recommended to Plastic Surgeons who may need the ear profile of Cross River State indigenes of Nigeria during surgery. Furthermore, with the recent advances in Biometrics, it could come in handy for forensic experts in identification of individuals of this particular ethnic group. More so, the relevance of this study to the physical anthropologist cannot be overemphasized.

References

- Mowlavi A, Meldrum G, Wilhelmi BJ, Zook EG. Incidence of earlobe ptosis and pseudoptosis in patients seeking facial rejuvenation surgery and effects of aging. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2004;113:712–717.
- Liu B, Tseng H, Chia T. Reliability of external ear measurements obtained by direct, photocopier scanning and photo anthropometry. *IEMS*. 2010;9(1):20–27.
- Schwalbe G, Bardeleben KV. Das aubere Ohr. *Handbuch der Anatomiedes Menschen*. 1897;vol. 5:125–131 (2).
- Taura MG, Adamu LH, Modibbo MH. External ear anthropometry among Hausas of Nigeria; the search of sexual dimorphism and correlations. *World J Med Med Sci Res*. 2013;1(5):091–095.
- Eboh D. Morphological changes of the human pinna in relation to age and gender of Urhobo people in Southern Nigeria. *J Exp Clin Anat*. 2013;12:68–74.
- Brucker MJ, Patel J, Sullivan PK. A morphometric study of the external ear: age and sex-related differences. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2003;112(64):7–652.
- Vogel FG, Motulsky AG. *Human genetics: problem and approaches*. New York: Springer; 1982:38–46.
- Farkas LG, Lindsay WK. Ear morphology in cleft lip and palate anomaly. *Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 1973;206:57–68.
- Farkas LG. Anthropometry of normal and anomalous ears. *Clin Plast Surg*. 1978;5:401–412.
- DellaCroce FJ, Green S, Aquilar EF. Framework growth after reconstruction for microtia: Is it real and what are the implications? *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2001;108:1479–1484.
- Queiprud T. Die Ohrmuschel und ihre Bedeutung für die erbbiologische Abstammungsprüfung. *Der Erbarzt*. 1935;8:121–125.
- Rubin LR, Bromberg BE, Walden RH, Adams A. An anatomic approach to the obtrusive ear. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 1962;29:360–370.
- Heathcote JA. Why do old men have big ears? *Br Med J*. 1995;311:1668.
- Kasprzak J. Identification of ear impressions in polish forensic practice. *Probl Forensic Sci*. 2001;47(47):168–174.

15. Purkait R, Singh P. A test of individuality of human external ear pattern: its application in the field of personal identification. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2008;178:112–118.
16. Ekanem AU, Garba SH, Musa TS, Dare ND. Anthropometric study of the pinna (Auricle) among adult Nigerians resident in Maiduguri metropolis. *J Med Sci.* 2010;10(6):176–180.
17. Sharma A, Ajaykumar SP. Age dependent changes in lobules of human ear and its influence on individual identification. *Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol.* 2008;2(2):7–12.
18. Sforza C, Dellavia C, Tartaglia GM, Ferrano VF. Morphometry of the ear in down's syndrome subjects: a Three- dimensional computerized assessment. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2005;34:480–486.
19. Gwunireama IU, Ukoba O, Allison TA, Okezie EO. Anthropometric study of the auricular dimensions in adolescents among the South-South Nigerians. *IJAIR.* 2013;2(5):548–558.
20. Purkait R, Singh P. Anthropometry of the normal human auricle: a study of adult Indian men. *Aesth Plast Surg J.* 2007;31(4):372–379.
21. Gualdi-Russo E. Longitudinal study of anthropometric changes with aging in an Urban Italian population. *Homo.* 1998;49:241–259.
22. Bozkir MG, Karakas P, Yavuz M, Dere F. Morphometry of the external ear in our adult population. *Aesth Plast Surg.* 2006;30:81–85.
24. Kalcioğlu MT, Mıman MC, Toplu Y, Yakinci C, Özturan O. Anthropometric growth study of normal human auricle. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2003;67:1169–1177.